

Dean L. Sicking, Ph.D., P.E. 2004 Roadside Safety Workshop June 23-24, 2004



Design Problem No. 5

Facts

- 5-ft radius W-beam bullnose systems upgraded when significantly damaged
- □ use MwRSF thrie beam bullnose (350 approved)
- thrie beam bullnose requires 10:1 front slope upstream of nose
- significant costs to upgrade system, including materials, installation, grading, and drainage
- What alternatives exist?
- How are they different from the thrie beam bullnose option? (cost, grading, maintenance, etc.)

GRADING AT BULLNOSE (DEPRESSED MEDIAN)



Thrie Beam Bullnose



Cedar Avenue



Cedar Avenue



5-Ft W-Beam Radius



5-Ft W-Beam Radius





Alternatives

- CAT System (cost/grading?)
- Guardrail End Terminals (cost/grading?)
 - Kansas Example
 - Missouri Example
- BEAT BP (cost/grading?)
- MwRSF Bullnose (cost/grading?)
- Inertial Barrels (cost/grading?)
- Other Crash Cushions (cost/grading?)

CAT System



ET-2000 System



ET-2000 System



Kansas Example



Missouri Example



BEAT-BP



BEAT-BP





Comparison of BEAT-BP & Thrie Beam Bullnose

Comments

- All treatments require grading
- Cost analysis must include hardware, grading, drainage, and maintenance
- Actual cost data difficult for MwRSF to obtain
- Maintenance costs must be considered when determining best solution
- Length of system and distance from travel way is best surrogate for maintenance cost

Estimated Costs

- All treatments require grading
- Cost analysis must include hardware, grading, drainage, and maintenance
- Actual cost data difficult for MwRSF to obtain
- Maintenance costs must be considered when determining best solution
- Length of system and distance from travel way is best surrogate for maintenance cost

Recommendations

- Conduct a benefit-cost analysis to determine preferred alternatives and develop generic guidelines
- Research study can be funded to develop these guidelines