



Construction Request For Information (CnRFI) - 90

Project:	(199) I-74 Contract 1	Project Number:	IMN-NHS-074-1(199)5--03-82
Process Document:	CnRFI - 90	Overall Due Date:	09.21.2018 06:00PM
Current Workflow Step:	03 Answering Party(s)	Step Due Date:	09.24.2018 02:16PM
Subject:	20180914 - RFI - Request to use 5C1-2 bars		
Status:	Reviewing		

Initiator Details

Contractor's Reference RFI Number:	90
Subcontractor Company:	
Contract Plan Sheet:	199 - 1417 (Sheet 207)
Contract Specification Reference:	2404
Priority:	Low<30 Days Normal<21Days High<7Days Urgent<3Days High
Requested Answer Due Date:	09.21.2018
Question:	<p>The attached picture shows the 3174 5C1-2 bars sent to the site from our rebar supplier, ABC Coatings. Note that the 10" upward leg shown on Design Sheet 207 was not caught by ABC.</p> <p>Our question is would it be acceptable to use these bars, or could a compromise be worked out where they are used every other bar in Units 2-4 and then additional 5C1's are sent that are correct and used in between?</p>

Concrete Construction
Sewers - Water Mains
Process Piping



Steel Erection
Waste Treatment
Equipment Installation

P.O. BOX 868 EAST MOLINE, IL 61244 309-755-5535 FAX: 309-755-7576

TO HNTB Corporation

DATE	9/14/2018	OUR JOB NO.	8249
RFI NUMBER:	90		
ATTENTION:	Resident Engineer		
RE:	IA WB I-74 Viaduct		

WE ARE SENDING YOU: Attached Under separate cover via _____ the following items:
 Shop Drawings Prints Plans Samples Specifications
 Copy of Letter Change Order Preliminary Plans Attached Photo _____

COPIES	DATE	NO.	DESCRIPTION
1	9/14/2018		RFI 90: Request to use 5C1-2 bars that are missing the upward 10" leg
			Submitted by: Civil
			Specification: 2404
			Design: 1417

THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:

For Approval Approved As Submitted Resubmit _____ Copies For Approval
 For Your Use Approved As Noted Submit _____ Copies For Distribution
 As Requested Returned For Corrections Return _____ Corrected Prints
 For Review And Comment _____
 FOR BIDS DUE _____ PRINTS RETURNED AFTER LOAN TO US

QUESTION: The attached picture shows the 3174 5C1-2 bars sent to the site from our rebar supplier, ABC Coatings. Note that the 10" upward leg shown on Design Sheet 207 was not caught by ABC.

Our question is would it be acceptable to use these bars, or could a compromise be worked out where they are used every other bar in Units 2-4 and then additional 5C1's are sent that are correct and used in between?

COPY TO File

SIGNED: Chris Snyder, Civil Constructors

